

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel

Site Inspection Performed 30 April to 6 May 2007

Prepared for:

City of Herzliya
Office of the Mayor
Herzliya, Israel

Prepared by:

Lee E. Harris, Ph.D., P.E.
Consulting Coastal & Oceanographic Engineer
Assoc. Professor of Ocean Engineering
Department of Marine & Environmental Systems
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, Florida 32901 USA

October 2007

Table of Contents

List of Tables.....	iii
List of Figures.....	iii
Executive Summary.....	iv
1 Introduction	1
2 Project Location and Conditions.....	3
3 Tide and Wave Data	8
4 Beach Erosion Problems	10
5 Beach Erosion Solutions.....	12
5.1 Modification of Existing Structures	12
5.2 Sand Backpassing	12
5.3 Sand Bypassing.....	13
5.4 Beach Nourishment	14
5.5 Emergent Segmented Breakwaters	14
5.6 Submerged Breakwaters	16
6 Reef Ball™ Submerged Artificial reef Breakwaters.....	18
6.1 Reef Ball™ Reef Units.....	18
6.2 Reef Ball Breakwater Application for Herzliya	20
6.3 Reef Ball Breakwater Costs for Herzliya	22
7 Recommendations for Herzliya	23
8 References.....	26

Annex 1 – Preliminary report

Annex 2 – Paper by Stauble and Tabar, JCR 2003

List of Tables

Table 1. Available Reef Ball Unit Sizes for Breakwater Construction 19

List of Figures

Figure 1. Project Study Area at West end of the Mediterranean Sea 3
Figure 2. Coastline from Tel Aviv to Herzliya 4
Figure 3. Project Study Area showing the zones North and South of the Marina 5
Figure 4. View to the South from Apollonia – 30 April 2007 Photograph 6
Figure 5. View of cliff erosion North of the Marina – 1 May 2007 Photograph 6
Figure 6. Project Study Area with 9 Regions 7
Figure 7. Predicted Tides during the Site Visit 8
Figure 8. Various Outfalls along the Herzliya coast 11
Figure 9. Proposed Breakwaters at the North end of Herzliya 15
Figure 10. North End of Herzliya Beach (Apollonia at the top) 16
Figure 11. Traditional Reef Ball™ Unit (L) and “Layer Cake” Reef Ball Unit (R) 18
Figure 12. Goliath Reef Ball in Concrete Base for Breakwater in Malaysia in 2007 19
Figure 13. Above and Underwater Photographs of the Reef Ball Breakwater 21
Figure 14. Marriott Beach Before and After Reef Ball Breakwater Installation 21
Figure 15. Grand Cayman Marriott - November 2006 (L) and February 2007 (R) 21

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings and recommendations of Dr. Lee E. Harris, consulting coastal engineer, who was contracted by the City of Herzliya to investigate the beach erosion problems and review and recommend alternatives for the northern Herzliya Beaches. Work performed included site visits and inspections above and underwater, meetings and presentations, and review of the papers, reports and studies. Those studies document the beach erosion that has been exacerbated by the construction of the Herzliya marina and the three breakwaters immediately to the north of the marina.

Three possible solutions to the beach erosion problem have been suggested for the northern Herzliya Beaches, with a summary of the recommendations of this report provided below:

1. Emergent Breakwaters – constructing two new emergent breakwaters in the northern Herzliya Beach area, similar to the three existing breakwaters north of the marina. This solution is NOT recommended, as although it will stabilize the beaches in the lee of the breakwaters, the tombolos that would be formed in their lee will create accelerated erosion for the adjacent beaches as the existing breakwaters have done. Even if the breakwaters are pre-filled with sand, the interruption of the longshore sediment by these structures and the deflection further offshore of sand traveling along the coast will have adverse effects on adjacent beaches, particularly the downdrift coastal area of Apollonia. In addition to the three existing breakwaters north of the Herzliya Marina, the emergent breakwaters at Miami Beach have created considerable downdrift erosion, in spite of being pre-filled with sand and the continuing major beach renourishment program for this area.
2. Submerged Breakwaters – constructing two wide-crested submerged artificial reef breakwaters in the northern Herzliya Beach area. This solution IS recommended as submerged breakwaters allow some of the wave energy to pass over them, so that the formation of tombolos is prevented. The use of hollow, porous reef units is recommended, as they allow water to flow through the breakwater, and prevent

the ponding effect that can cause erosion at the ends of breakwaters. Due to their porosity and performance at other locations, the use of Reef Ball artificial reef units is recommended. Based on site surveys performed for this study, the water depths, tide range, and wave climate are suitable for Reef Ball submerged artificial reef breakwaters. Since the breakwaters are submerged, the breakwaters must be wide enough to provide sufficient wave attenuation. Successful submerged artificial reef breakwaters in other locations and physical model wave tank studies performed by the US Army Corps of Engineers Coastal Hydraulics Laboratory have shown that an 8 to 10m wide breakwater with the crest 0.5m or less below the low tide elevation performs sufficient wave attenuation to stabilize beaches, but does not create tombolos or increased erosion on adjacent beaches. Due to the submerged and porous structures, sand is allowed to continue to move along the coast landward of the breakwaters, so it is not deflected further offshore. Existing nearshore rock reefs in this area already show natural salients (accumulated sand) in their lee, showing that this concept will work.

3. Narrow-crested Submerged Breakwaters – this alternative is NOT recommended, as the narrow width of these structures will not provide sufficient wave attenuation, as discussed. Two similar designs used in the United States include the BeachSaver unit (Breakwaters International) and PEP Reef (Prefabricated Erosion Prevention Reef). Monitoring of the performance of these submerged breakwater systems has been performed by Dr. Harris, and a thorough review is provided in a paper by Stauble and Tabar, which is included as an annex to this report. A significant problem for the BeachSaver and PEP Reef breakwater units has been settlement, due to the massive weight of the solid reinforced concrete units. Physical and numerical modeling and field investigations of these systems have also documented significant settlement (sinking down into the sand) and toe scour at the base of these units due to the solid cross-section.

Recommendations of this report include the use of submerged artificial reef breakwaters to stabilize the northern Herzliya beaches. The construction of two breakwaters is recommended, located south of the existing rock reefs offshore of Apollonia. Beach

nourishment should be performed in conjunction with the breakwater construction, in order to pre-fill the salients that are expected to form. Additional beach nourishment of the Herzliya beaches between the existing and new submerged breakwaters can also be performed, with these two breakwater systems stabilizing the sand. These wide-crested, porous, submerged breakwaters are not expected to accelerate the erosion of the Apollonia area, as sand will be able to continue to move along the shore landward of the submerged porous artificial reef breakwater. The artificial reef units have the added benefit of providing marine habitat for environmental enhancement.

Protection of the Apollonia archeological site was also discussed. Due to the existing severely steep slope of the bluff and the existing rock reef and ruins at the base of the site, it is not the erosion at the base of the bluff by wave action that is the most critical issue, but the erosion of the steep slope above, which is steeper than the angle of repose of the sediments, so is easily eroded from the top by rainfall and winds.

The work plan needed to pursue the construction of offshore submerged artificial reef breakwaters (or any of the above alternatives) would include a detailed site survey of the area in which the breakwaters will be constructed. That information will determine the number and sizes of reef units needed for the breakwaters. The survey must include mapping the existing rock reefs in the area, and determining the sand depth above the rock substrate so that the proper foundation can be designed. Wave data and the detailed bathymetric survey data will be used for the detailed design, and can be used as input to physical or numerical modeling that can be utilized to refine the layout and design of the breakwaters to optimize the performance.

For the Reef Ball breakwater construction, an area (preferably near the coast) is needed to set up the molds to fabricate the reef units. Transportation from the fabrication site and deployment offshore can be done from the marina using barges and cranes, but transporting the units across the beach and floating them offshore may provide a more economical deployment method. Costs depend on the final design configuration and the deployment method, but an estimate for two 10m wide 100m long breakwaters is in the range of US\$500,000; however, the actual costs are very site specific and depend on many factors as discussed in the report.

1 Introduction

This report presents the findings and recommendations of Dr. Lee E. Harris who was contracted by the City of Herzliya to investigate the beach erosion problems and review and recommend alternatives. The scope of work was to develop a first stage consultancy work for the Herzliya Municipality that included a visit to the site in Israel. Specifically, the following were requested:

Preliminary report:

- The use of Reef balls in the world as elements in the rehabilitation of beaches, including problems and successes.
- Suggested program for a site visit, including meetings and presentations to Herzliya authorities and specific experts from the Ministry of the Environment and Home Ministry.

The preliminary report was completed and submitted in advance of the site visit. It covers the technology of using Reef Ball artificial reef units as submerged breakwaters, including examples and performance of existing projects. That report should be considered an annex to this report.

Final report:

- Discussion and preliminary recommendations related to the recommended solution for the Herzliya Beach.
- Discussion and recommendations related to the use of Reef Balls in breakwaters parallel to the sea shore at the northern part of Herzliya Beach.
- Suggestion for a work plan in order to implement the above recommendations.

This report is submitted and covers those items listed above. This report presents the results of field investigations, meetings, and information gathered prior to and during site visits to the Herzliya coast performed 30 April to 5 May 2007. Field investigations included walking and driving the length of the Herzliya coast, travel by boat from the marina to Apollonia, and SCUBA diving offshore of the northern beach area with Dr. Yehuda Benayahu. Materials gathered during these site visits included prior reports and

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel

studies, information from meetings and interviews with local groups and individuals (government, scientific, environmental, etc.), published papers, maps, and charts. In particular, investigations were performed to determine the feasibility of utilizing submerged offshore breakwaters at the north end of the Herzliya beaches, as has been recommended in previous studies.

Many papers, reports and studies document the beach erosion problems in the City of Herzliya following the construction of the Herzliya marina (completed in 1992) and the three breakwaters immediately to the north of the marina. In the years following the construction of those coastal works, the beaches to the north have experienced erosion. The City of Herzliya has been investigating solutions to the beach erosion problems, and the purpose of this study was to investigate the beach erosion problems, and to recommend possible solutions.

2 Project Location and Conditions

Herzliya is located on the west coast of Israel in the Mediterranean Sea, as shown in Figure 1. Due to the large fetch to the west, the area is subject to large waves from the NW, W, and SW directions. Predominant alongshore current and littoral sand transport is from south to north, with sand sources reported as from far away as the Nile River Delta. Numerous coastal structures have been constructed along the Israeli coast, including port and harbor facilities, marinas, and emergent (subaerial) segmented breakwaters. Many examples of these coastal structures are located in Tel Aviv, south of Herzliya, as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 1. Project Study Area at West end of the Mediterranean Sea



Figure 2. Coastline from Tel Aviv to Herzliya
Predominant littoral sand transport is from S to N (left to right).

Figure 3 shows an aerial photograph of the City of Herzliya coastline, where the dominant features are the marina and segmented breakwaters immediately to the north (also evident in Figure 2). The three breakwaters were constructed to prevent the anticipated erosion downdrift of the marina, with natural sand accretion in their lee (they were not pre-filled with sand after construction). The widest beaches are found in the lee of the breakwaters, and south (updrift) of the marina.



Figure 3. Project Study Area showing the zones North and South of the Marina

The beach is very narrow north of the breakwaters, due to the blockage of longshore sand transport by the marina, and the trapping of sand by the breakwaters. However, there are two salients with wider beaches, indicated in Figure 3, and in the photograph shown in Figure 4. These salients are formed due to the naturally occurring offshore reefs, visible in the photograph, that act as submerged breakwaters.




Figure 4. View to the South from Apollonia – 30 April 2007 Photograph
Note the wider beach areas North of the Herzliya Marina labeled as the two salients.

Previous papers, reports and studies document the effects of the marina and breakwaters on the beaches to their north (downdrift). Erosion of those beaches has led to increased erosion of the cliffs, and several areas where this has occurred are visible along the Herzliya coast, with one example shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. View of cliff erosion North of the Marina – 1 May 2007 Photograph

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel

Recent reports on the Herzliya beach erosion have divided the region into 9 different zones, as shown in Figure 6. Zone 3 consists of the marina and Zone 4 contains the three breakwaters. These reports compare changes in the offshore bathymetry from available bathymetric surveys, and document and discuss the sand changes in each of the zones. It is estimated that the breakwaters have trapped approximately 300,000 cubic meters of sand from the littoral system.




Figure 6. Project Study Area with 9 Regions

3 Tide and Wave Data

The tides for the Herzliya coast are quite small, with astronomical tide ranges ranging from only 20 to 40 centimeters. Larger water levels (storm surges) do occur due to onshore winds and wave setup. Tide data for the project area during the time period that the site visit was performed are shown in Figure 7, showing the small tide range. Onshore winds and wave setup were observed to create higher water levels during the site visit.




Figure 7. Predicted Tides during the Site Visit




Figure 7. Predicted Tides during the Site Visit (continued)

Offshore wave gages are located at Haifa, Hadera, and Ashdod, and it has been reported that wave heights of up to 9m during storms have been observed offshore of the Israeli coast (with 5m wave heights typical). Nearshore waves will be depth-limited with the design wave being the largest breaking wave possible for the design water depth. During storms, the existing low and narrow beach north of the marina and breakwaters is underwater, with waves reaching and eroding the base of the cliffs.

4 Beach Erosion Problems

As previously discussed, there are many papers, reports and studies that document and discuss the shoreline changes that have occurred subsequent to the construction of the Herzliya Marina and adjacent breakwaters. The shore parallel length of the marina and breakwater is approximately 800m, and the outer breakwater of the marina protrudes 500m out from the coast. The three breakwaters were each constructed approximately 200m offshore, with shorter lengths for each breakwater from south to north. Some of the major factors affecting the beach erosion along the Herzliya coast are listed below:

1. sand traveling from south to north (the dominant longshore sand transport direction) is blocked by the marina breakwater, which can trap sand adjacent to the southern end of the marina and deflect the sand offshore, which prevents the natural longshore transport of sand to the northern Herzliya beaches
2. sand can be trapped within the marina and its entrance, and if it is dredged and dumped offshore, that sand is removed from the littoral system
3. the breakwaters have trapped an estimated 300,000 cubic meters from the littoral system. To prevent that from occurring, the beach in the lee of the breakwaters should have been pre-filled with sand to prevent that loss of sand from the littoral system.
4. there are outfalls for storm water that empty directly onto the beach, with examples of these in Figure 8. The largest of these is the outfall immediately north of the marina, which is at the end of a drainage canal (top photograph in figure 8). All of these outfalls have the potential to wash sand from the beach, especially during large rainfalls. There is also a discharge for a water-cooled air conditioning system shown in Figure 8 (last photograph), and although minor, shows water flowing out, washing sand offshore and eroding a channel in the beach.

The largest factors contributing to the erosion of the northern Herzliya beaches are the existing marina and adjacent breakwaters, which block the flow of sand along the coast, deflect sand into deeper water, and trap sand within their boundaries.

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel




Figure 8. Various Outfalls along the Herzliya coast

5 Beach Erosion Solutions

Several alternatives for alleviating the beach erosion problems for the northern Herzliya beaches have been proposed. At present, sand scraping of the beach within the lee of the breakwaters is performed every year prior to the summer beach season, with 10 to 15,000 cubic meters of sand removed and placed on the northern Herzliya beaches. This and other alternatives which can be considered alone or in combinations are discussed as follows.

5.1 Modification of Existing Structures

The only modification of the existing coastal structures that could reduce the amount of sand being trapped and prevented from reaching the northern Herzliya beaches would be the modification of the three detached breakwaters. In the last 15 years considerable sand has been trapped within the lee of the three detached breakwaters (estimated at 300,000 cubic meters). This could include shortening the breakwater lengths, reducing their heights, moving them closer to shore, or removing one or more of the breakwaters.

However, had the three detached breakwaters not been constructed, severe erosion in this area would have occurred due to the marina construction, and the breakwaters have prevented that from occurring, although contributing to the erosion of the northern Herzliya beaches (which could have been minimized by pre-filling the breakwaters by adding 300,000 cubic meters of sand in the lee of the breakwaters as part of the breakwater construction).

The existing breakwater system appears to be in relative equilibrium, and instead of modifying those structures, which could be difficult and expensive, continued and increased volumes of sand removed from the lee of the breakwaters and used to renourish the northern Herzliya beaches could be performed, as discussed in the Backpassing alternative below.

5.2 Sand Backpassing

This alternative consists of going to where sand is being trapped, and passing it back to where it is eroding from. This method is already being practiced by the City of Herzliya, with a sand volume of 10 to 15,000 cubic meters transferred from within the lee of the

breakwaters to the northern Herzliya beaches each spring. Due to the tremendous volume of sand trapped within the lee of the existing three breakwaters that have formed tombolos (sand accreting all the way from shore out to the breakwaters), the volume of sand removed from the lee of the breakwaters could be greatly increased without reducing the necessary recreational beach area in that location. However, the sand volume trapped within the lee of the breakwaters is probably in equilibrium, so that any volume of sand removed from that area may be expected to be trapped there again. This makes this alternative one that is sustainable, and could assist with trapping sand that may otherwise form shoals within the marina and entrance, but one that could contribute to the trapping of sand that may otherwise naturally nourish adjacent beaches.

5.3 Sand Bypassing

This alternative consists of bypassing sand around the existing marina and breakwaters, to restore the natural sand flow to the northern Herzliya beaches. As previously discussed, sand is being trapped south of the marina, offshore of the marina, in the marina and marina entrance, and within the lee of the three breakwaters. To restore the natural flow of sand to the northern Herzliya beaches, a permanent or periodic sand transfer operation could be established south of the marina, with the transfer of sand from that area to the northern Herzliya beaches.

This alternative is generally implemented as part of the construction of a breakwater system such as that built for the Herzliya Marina. If such a system as well as pre-filling of the northern three breakwaters had been done as part of the initial construction, much of the erosion problems in the northern Herzliya beach area might have been minimized. However, at this point in time, such a bypassing system is not recommended, due to the erosion problems also being experienced by the beaches south of the Herzliya Marina.

For the bypassing system to be implemented, an impoundment basin could be dredged just offshore and south of the marina, with the dredged sand placed on the northern Herzliya beaches. Sand that moves from south to north and accumulates in that impoundment basin can be transferred either hydraulically through pipelines or trucked to the northern beaches. This alternative would trap the sand that is accumulating south of and offshore of the marina breakwater before it reaches those areas, and restore it into the

longshore sand transport. This trapped sand could also be backpassed to the southern beaches if needed.

Successful sand bypassing operations require a consistent and substantial volume of sand flowing in one direction. Although the predominant flow of sand is from south to north, there are times when waves, wind and currents from the north or northwest can create a reversal of sand transport from north to south. The longshore sand transport rate would need to be well documented in order to properly utilize sand bypassing.

5.4 Beach Nourishment

There are approximately 300,000 cubic meters of sand stockpiled from the dredging of the marina construction project, which can be used to nourish the beaches. This sand can be placed along the northern Herzliya beaches, but the longevity of this beach nourishment is not certain. Beach nourishment adds sand to the beach, but does not stop or slow down the beach erosion problem. Typically beach nourishment projects are performed as an initial nourishment, with periodic renourishment of the beaches as the sand is eroded. Typically 3 to 10-year periodic renourishment is required, with additional or more frequent renourishment following storm events. A source of sand for future periodic renourishments of the beach should be determined if beach nourishment is selected as the only beach construction. The sand trapped within the lee of the three segmented breakwaters is one possible source, but any sand removed from that area will create a deficit into which sand may be trapped in the future.

5.5 Emergent Segmented Breakwaters

Additional emergent (subaerial) offshore detached breakwaters could be constructed to the north of the existing breakwaters, adding a continuous system of additional breakwaters as far north as Apollonia. This would be an expensive solution, but could be used to assist in stabilizing any sand that is added to the beaches in that area.

Another alternative would be to add one or more breakwaters to the north end of the Herzliya area, as shown in Figure 9. The salients or tombolos formed in the lee of those northern breakwaters could assist in stabilizing the beaches to their south. Pre-filling of the breakwaters is recommended, so that initial accretion in the lee of the breakwaters with the formation of the salients or tombolos does not rob sand from surrounding beach

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel

areas. However, if tombolos form in the lee of the breakwaters, then longshore sand transport will be blocked and sand can be deflected further offshore, thereby adversely affecting the downdrift beaches to the north.

Any emergent breakwater greatly alters the aesthetics as well as the coastal processes. To avoid the potential unsightliness and to maintain but reduce the amount of sand that is trapped in the lee of the structure, this alternative is not recommended, and the alternative of using submerged breakwaters is discussed in the following section.



Figure 9. Proposed Breakwaters at the North end of Herzliya

5.6 Submerged Breakwaters

There has been an increased interest and utilization of submerged breakwaters for shoreline stabilization in recent years. As compared to emergent breakwaters that stop all wave action from passing over them, submerged breakwaters allow the smaller waves to pass over them, only attenuating the larger waves. This allows the continued flow of sand along the coast in the lee of those structures, preventing the formation of tombolos, and with smaller salients than expected with emergent breakwaters.

The left aerial photograph in Figure 10 shows the existing northern Herzliya coastal area, with naturally occurring offshore submerged reefs and salients that form naturally in their lee. The right photograph in Figure 10 is the same area, with a conceptual layout of two proposed submerged breakwaters and the formation of two additional salients in their lee. These breakwaters could be designed and constructed as submerged artificial reefs, to enhance the marine habitat provided by the natural reefs in this area, as well as to assist with shoreline stabilization.



Figure 10. North End of Herzliya Beach (Apollonia at the top)

The left photograph shows the existing offshore submerged reefs with salients that have formed naturally along the coast. The right photograph shows a conceptual layout of two offshore submerged artificial reefs, and the salients that would form in their lee.

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel

In addition to using submerged and segmented breakwaters, permeable breakwaters can be used to ensure that ponding will not occur in the lee of the breakwaters, and that a limited salient will form. One alternative is the use of Reef Ball™ artificial reef units to construct the submerged artificial reef breakwaters, as discussed in the following section.

6 Reef Ball™ Submerged Artificial reef Breakwaters

6.1 Reef Ball™ Reef Units

One of the designed reef units that have been used to construct submerged breakwaters is the Reef Ball™ reef unit, shown in Figure 11 with one variation of this reef unit known as the “Layer Cake” also shown. Detailed information on this reef unit and its use and performance in submerged breakwaters was provided in the preliminary report, which is included as an annex to this report. Some of the most important aspects relative to the Herzliya project are discussed in this section.



Figure 11. Traditional Reef Ball™ Unit (L) and “Layer Cake” Reef Ball Unit (R)

Originally designed as reef units for habitat enhancement in deeper water depths, Reef Ball units have several advantages over traditional breakwater materials, including:

1. easy and economical on-site fabrication using a patented mold system,
2. easy and economical deployment of the units by floating them using lift bags (not requiring barges and cranes),
3. ability to anchor the units to the bottom (covered later), and
4. units can be custom designed as habitat for selected benthic and pelagic species, including aquaculture applications and transplanting and propagation of corals.

Based on the investigations performed for this study, sizes of Reef Ball units appropriate for breakwater construction offshore the Herzliya beach area are shown in Table 1. A new development recently used in Malaysia in August 2007 incorporated a concrete base into which a Goliath Ball was placed, using concrete blocks in the base beneath the Goliath Ball to vary the height of the reef above the bottom. The method is shown in Figure 12, and may be applicable to the Herzliya project, depending on the water depths in which the breakwater is deployed. With the use of bases, the total height of the 2-part breakwater unit can reach 7 feet = 2.1m as in Malaysia, or even higher, depending on the design of the base units.

Table 1. Available Reef Ball Unit Sizes for Breakwater Construction

<i>Style</i>	<i>Width</i>	<i>Height</i>	<i>Weight</i>	<i>Concrete Volume</i>	<i># Holes</i>
Goliath Ball	6 feet (1.83m)	5 feet (1.52m)	4,000-6,000 lbs (1800-2700 kg)	1.3 yard (1.0 m ³)	25-40
Super Ball	6 feet (1.83m)	4.5 feet (1.37m)	4,000-6,000 lbs (1800-2700 kg)	1.3 yard (1.0 m ³)	22-34
Ultra Ball	5.5 feet (1.83m)	4.3 feet (1.31m)	3,500-4,500 lbs (1600-2000 kg)	0.9 yard (0.7m ³)	22-34
Reef Ball	6 feet (1.83m)	3.8 feet (1.22m)	3000-4200 lbs (1350-1900 kg)	0.75 yard (0.6m ³)	22-34




Figure 12. Goliath Reef Ball in Concrete Base for Breakwater in Malaysia in 2007

6.2 Reef Ball Breakwater Application for Herzliya

Field investigations showed that the northern Herzliya beaches are suitable for Reef Ball submerged artificial reef breakwater construction, due to the water depths, tide range, bottom type, and wave climate. The effectiveness of any submerged breakwater depends on the degree of submergence and width of the breakwater. Existing nearshore reefs that have formed salients in the northern Herzliya beach areas are located in water depths of 1m to 3m, which are suitable depths for the largest Reef Ball units. With the small tide range at Herzliya (the same as that in the Caribbean projects discussed), if the crest of the breakwater is constructed near the water surface at low tide, it will only be submerged 30 to 40 centimeters at high tide. This will allow the submerged breakwater to be effective at all astronomical tide levels. Decreased effectiveness can occur during storm surge events, but this can be countered by increased breakwater width. .

Due to the potential for large waves in this area, the Reef Ball units must be anchored securely to the bottom. This can be done by using rods drilled into the existing hardbottom, or by pilings jettied into the sand bottom, depending on the bottom type and sand thickness in the area in which the breakwaters are constructed. The use of an articulated mat can also be considered, for areas in which natural rock reefs are absent.

A very detailed beach and bathymetric survey would be required for detailed design of a Reef Ball breakwater system. A closely spaced survey grid would be required in the area of the proposed breakwaters, and jet probes would be required to determine bottom type and sand thickness for anchoring and foundation design.

An existing Reef Ball submerged breakwaters that is similar to what is recommended for the northern Herzliya beaches is the 5-row submerged Reef Ball breakwater shown in Figure 13. This breakwater was constructed in 2002, and is located offshore of the Marriott Beach Resort at the south end of Seven Mile Beach on Grand Cayman Island. The before and after photographs shown in Figures 14 - 15 show the successful beach stabilization, even after Category 5 Hurricane Ivan impacted the area in 2005. Seasonal fluctuations in the beach width still occur, as does beach erosion from storm events, but the breakwater has effectively reduced the erosion and assisted with the stabilization of the beach.



Figure 13. Above and Underwater Photographs of the Reef Ball Breakwater
Submerged Breakwater offshore of the Grand Cayman Marriott Beach Resort



Figure 14. Marriott Beach Before and After Reef Ball Breakwater Installation
Photo from Fall 2002 (L) and February 2003 (R) after the breakwater installation



Figure 15. Grand Cayman Marriott - November 2006 (L) and February 2007 (R)

6.3 Reef Ball Breakwater Costs for Herzliya

For preliminary cost comparison, submerged breakwaters in the Caribbean have been constructed at a cost of around US\$1,000 per Reef Ball unit installed. At that price, for example, one 10m wide 100m long breakwater which would require approximately 250 units would cost about US\$250,000 for a cost of US500,000 for two 100m long 10m wide breakwater segments. However, the actual costs are very site specific, and depend on many factors including the following:

1. local cost and availability of concrete, labor and heavy equipment for fabrication of the units and deployment,
2. shipping costs for the molds, and any specialized supplies and equipment not available locally,
3. import duties, taxes, insurance and other required costs,
4. water depths (governs unit sizes and breakwater width),
5. bottom type and sand thickness (governs anchoring and foundation design),
6. location for fabrication of Reef Ball units (governs transportation costs),
7. deployment method (floating from the beach or using barges and cranes).

7 Recommendations for Herzliya

Based on the field investigations, meetings, discussions, and review of all available papers, reports and studies, the following are recommended as actions to be taken into consideration for improving the scientific understanding, available engineering design data, and best methods for beach improvements to the City of Herzliya beaches:

1. Establish and maintain a system of survey benchmarks along the Herzliya shoreline, so that periodic beach profile surveys from those markers can be performed.

There are a few limited beach profile surveys of the Herzliya coastal area, with reports showing nine beach profiles surveyed in 1980, 1995, 1998, and 2000 (but not all profile lines included in each survey date, and profile lines absent for the northernmost beach areas). Unlike detailed offshore bathymetric surveys using boats, beach profile surveys to wading depths (out to 2m depth) using differential leveling or other surveying techniques are not expensive, and can be performed at regular intervals and following storm events to document short-term, seasonal and long-term changes. Beach profile data provide the information necessary for studies of beach changes, and for engineering designs and construction of coastal structures and beach nourishment projects.

The State of Florida established a series of such markers at 300m intervals along all of its sandy shorelines in the early 1970's, and these form the basis for scientific studies and engineering data used to understand and document shoreline and sand volume changes in the beach, and are used for engineering designs and analyses, including monitoring the performance of beach nourishment projects and coastal structures. In specific project areas, spacing of beach profile survey lines are often at closer intervals. For Herzliya, beach profile line locations and spacing should be done in association with the existing profile lines, existing coastal structures, and the nine beach zones identified in previous studies, from permanent markers set landward of the sandy beach on concrete walls, or at the base of the cliff.

Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel

In Florida, this beach profile survey program was first set up and performed by the University, and the periodic surveys required to monitor the beaches and determine shoreline and sand volume changes are an excellent project for university students. At present, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) maintains an on-line database of all of the beach profile surveys performed by the FDEP and other government and private surveyors. These form the basis of all studies of beach changes and all engineering designs and permits of coastal structures and beach nourishment projects. The horizontal coordinates and vertical elevation of each of the survey monuments are available on the FDEP web site, and are used for locating the markers and establishing the survey elevations.

Although there are bathymetric surveys available for the area offshore Herzliya, there are limited surveys of the beach, shoreline, and nearshore areas. Beach widths and elevations change considerably seasonally and due to storm events, and knowledge of those changes and variations are essential for a thorough understanding of the coastal processes, and design of beach nourishment and coastal structures. The design and implementation of all of the alternatives for beach stabilization discussed in this report would benefit from this type of data for optimizing designs and monitoring performance.

2. Consider increasing the volume and frequency of sand backpassing.

The current practice of annually transferring sand trapped in the lee of the three detached breakwaters to the northern Herzliya beaches can be continued, and may be expanded to transfer greater volumes of sand to the northern Herzliya beaches. Beach profile surveys performed in conjunction with this work would document the sand volume quantities, movement and longevity of the placed sand, and recovery of the sand that was taken from the lee of the breakwaters. Increased sand backpassing may reduce the shoaling in the marina and entrance, as sand moving from north to south that is able to bypass the filled breakwater tombolos and reach the marina may be trapped in the area from which the sand is removed for the backpassing.

3. Perform additional surveys so that detailed designs of emergent and submerged breakwaters can be developed.

A detailed beach, shoreline and nearshore bathymetric survey using a close spaced grid is required in order to design emergent or submerged breakwaters. Detailed water depths, offshore distances, and jet probes to determine sand cover and bottom type are required for detailed design of any breakwater alternatives. This data is especially critical for submerged artificial reef breakwaters using units such as Reef Balls, so that the sizes of the units and foundation design can be determined.

4. Construct submerged breakwaters offshore of the northern Herzliya beaches.

Construction of two submerged breakwaters offshore of the northern Herzliya beaches as shown in Figure 10 would stabilize the shoreline in that area, with beneficial effects to the south of the structures. It is HIGHLY recommended to pre-fill the beach landward of the breakwaters, with the volume of sand that is predicted to accrete and form salients in that area (determined from numerical modeling). Additional sand also can be placed along the beaches south of the new submerged breakwaters.

5. Perform monitoring of the offshore submerged breakwaters.

Periodic monitoring surveys and other studies to assess the effectiveness of the offshore breakwaters should be performed.

6. Protection of the Apollonia archeological site.

Protection of the Apollonia archeological site was also discussed. Due to the existing severely steep slope of the bluff and the existing rock reef and ruins at the base of the site, it is not the erosion at the base of the bluff by wave action that is the most critical issue, but the erosion of the steep slope above, which is steeper than the angle of repose of the sediments, so is easily eroded from the top by rainfall and winds. This erosion of the slope from above should be addressed by experts in the field of slope protection for large bluffs. Modification of the shoreline in this area could also detract from the historical significance of this previous harbor.

8 References

1. Baird, W.F., 1998. Design Investigations for Shore Protection, Wave Agitation and Sedimentation at Herzliya Marina. Baird Associates Coastal Engineers Ltd., Oakville, Ontario, Canada.
2. Baldock, T.E. and Simmonds, D.J. "Separation of incident and reflected waves over sloping bathymetry." *Coastal Engineering* 38 (1999): 167-176.
3. Balkemak, A.A., Rotterdam and Brookfield, 1998. Slope stability problems along the Israeli Mediterranean beach cliffs and ways for improving its stability. *Eighth International IAEG Congress* Vancouver, Canada, September 21-25 1998, p. 1545-1550.
4. Black, Kerry and Andrews, C. "Sandy shoreline response to offshore obstacles: Part 1. Salient and tombolo geometry and shape." *Journal of Coastal Research* 29 (2001): 82-93.
5. Black, Kerry. "Numerical prediction of salient formation in the lee of offshore reefs." *Proceedings of the 3rd International Surfing Reef Symposium*, 2003.
6. Chasten, M. et al. "Engineering Design Guidance for Detached Breakwaters as Shoreline Stabilization Structures." *Technical Report CERC 93-19. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*, Coastal Engineering Research Center. 1993.
7. Cho, Yong-Sik et al. "Experimental study of strong reflection of regular water waves over submerged breakwaters in tandem." *Ocean Engineering* 31 (2004): 1325-1335.
8. Dean, Robert and Dalrymple, Robert. *Coastal Processes with Engineering Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
9. Dean, Robert and Dalrymple, Robert. *Water Wave Mechanics for Engineers and Scientists*. Teaneck: World Scientific, 1991.
10. Dean, Robert et al. "Full scale monitoring study of a submerged breakwater, Palm Beach, Florida, USA." *Coastal Engineering* 29 (1997): 291-315.

11. Emery, K.O. and Bentor, Y.K., 1960. The Continental Shelf of Israel. *Ministry of Agriculture Division of Fisheries* No. 28 and *Ministry of Development Geological Survey* No. 26, p. 25-41.
12. Emery, K.O. and Neev, D., 1960. Mediterranean Beaches of Israel. *Ministry of Agriculture Division of Fisheries* No. 28 and *Ministry of Development Geological Survey* No. 26, p. 1-24.
13. Friebel, Harry. "Re-evaluation of wave transmission coefficients for submerged breakwater physical models." Master's thesis, Florida Institute of Technology. 2000.
14. Gabbay, S., 2000. Coastal Area Management Programme (CAMP) Israel Final Integrated Report. United Nations Environment Programme. Split, Croatia, p. 1-89.
15. Harris, L.E., 2007. Artificial reefs for ecosystem restoration and coastal erosion protection with aquaculture and recreational amenities. *5th International Surfing Reef Conference*.
16. Harris, L.E. and Sample, J.W., 2005. The evolution of multi-celled sand-filled geosynthetic systems for coastal protection and surfing enhancement. *4th International Surfing Reef Conference*.
17. Harris, L.E., Sample, J.W., and Mead, S., 2005. Design evolution and performance of coastal structures using geosynthetic materials for coastal protection and surfing enhancement. *Beach Preservation Technology 2005*, FSBPA.
18. Harris, Turk, and Mead, 2004. Combined recreational amenities and coastal erosion protection using submerged breakwaters for shoreline stabilization. *Beach Preservation Technology 2004*, FSBPA.
19. Harris, 2003. Submerged reef structures for beach erosion control. Proceedings of *Coastal Structures '03*. ASCE.

20. Harris, 2003. Artificial reef structures for shoreline stabilization and habitat enhancement. *3rd International Surfing Reef Symposium*, ASR, Ltd., Raglan, New Zealand.
21. Harris, 2003. Correlation between the physical structure of the nearshore reefs and the historical beach erosion/accretion in Indian River County, FL. Proceedings of *Coastal Sediments '03*, USGS, St. Petersburg, FL.
22. Harris, Lee. "Wave Attenuation by Rigid and Flexible-Membrane Submerged Breakwaters." Doctoral dissertation, Florida Atlantic University, 1996.
23. Huang, Ching-Jer et al. "Structural permeability effects on the interaction of a solitary wave and a submerged breakwater." *Coastal Engineering* 49 (2003): 1-24.
24. Johnson, Hakeem. "Wave modeling in the vicinity of submerged breakwaters." *Coastal Engineering* 53 (2006): 39-48.
25. Longuet-Higgins, M.S. "On the wave-induced difference in mean sea level between the two sides of a submerged breakwater." *Journal of Marine Research* 25 (1967): 149-153.
26. Losada, I. et al. "3-D non-breaking regular wave interaction with submerged breakwaters." *Coastal Engineering* 28 (1996) 229-248.
27. Mizutani, Norimi et al. "Nonlinear regular wave, submerged breakwater and seabed dynamic interaction." *Coastal Engineering* 33 (1998): 177-202.
28. Nir, Y., 1982. Offshore artificial structures and their influence on the Israel and Sinai Mediterranean beaches. *Eighteenth Coastal Engineering Conference ASCE*, Cape Town, South Africa, November 14-16 1982, p. 1837-1856.
29. Nir, Y., 2004. Israel Mediterranean Coasts Faults and Mistakes in Beach Management or the Seven Pillars of Unwisdom. *First International Conference on the Management of Coastal Recreation resources Beaches, Yacht Marinas and Coastal Ecotourism*, Malta, October 20-23 2004, p. 87-89.

30. Nir, Y., and Elimelech, A., 1990. Twenty five years of development along the Israeli Mediterranean coast: goals and achievements. *Development along the Israeli Mediterranean Coast* No.18, p 211-218.
31. Rambabu, A. and Mani, J. “Numerical prediction of performance of submerged breakwaters.” *Ocean Engineering* 32 (2005): 1235-1246.
32. Ranasinghe, Roshanka et al. “Shoreline response to multi-functional artificial surfing reefs: A numerical and physical modeling study.” *Coastal Engineering* 53 (2006): 589-611.
33. Ranasinghe, R.S. and Sato, S., 2007. Beach morphology behind single impermeable submerged breakwater under obliquely incident waves. *Coastal Engineering Journal*, Vol. 49, No. 1 p. 1-24.
34. Ranasinghe, Roshanka and Turner, Ian. “Shoreline response to submerged structures: A review.” *Coastal Engineering* 53 (2006): 65-79.
35. Schwartz, M. L., 1982. Asia, Middle East, Coastal Morphology: Israel and Sinai. *The Encyclopedia of Beaches and Coastal Environments*. Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Vol. 15, p 86-98. Publication of the Ross Publishing Company, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania.
36. Schwartz, M.L and Bird, E.C.F., 1985. Israel. *The World's Coastline*, Vol 70. p 505-511. Publication of the Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York.
37. Stauble, D.K. and Tabar, J.R., 2003. “The Use of Submerged Narrow-Crested Breakwaters for Shoreline Erosion Control.” *Journal of Coastal Research*, 19-3 pages 684-722.
38. Ting, Chao-Lung et al. “Porosity effects on non-breaking surface waves over permeable submerged breakwaters.” *Coastal Engineering* 50 (2004): 213-224.
39. Turner, Ian. “Discriminating Modes of Shoreline Response to Offshore-Detached Structures.” *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering* (American Society of Civil Engineers) 132 (2006):180-190.
40. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Fundamentals of Design.” *Coastal Engineering Manual*, Part VI, Chapter 5. 2006.

*Investigations and Recommendations for Solutions to the
Beach Erosion Problems in the City of Herzliya, Israel*

41. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Surf Zone Hydrodynamics." *Coastal Engineering Manual*, Part II, Chapter 4. 2003.
42. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Shore Protection Manual*. 1984.
43. Yuan, Dekui and Tao, Jianhua. "Wave forces on submerged, alternately submerged, and emerged semicircular breakwaters." *Coastal Engineering* 48 (2003): 75-93.
44. Zviely, D. The Impact of the Herzliya Marina on the width of its neighboring beaches.